

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Byx N50 Alexardria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.aspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/691,453	10/21/2003	Arto Kiiskinen	944-004.037	7333	
4955	7590 01/18/2007	EXAM	EXAMINER		
WARE FRESSOLA VAN DER SLUYS & ADOLPHSON, LLP			TIMBLIN, ROBERT M		
	GREEN, BUILDING 5 REET. P O BOX 224		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
MONROE, CT	T 06468		2167		
·	•		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			01/18/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/691,453	KIISKINEN ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Robert M. Timblin	2167		

		TODOR W. THIBIN	2101	
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress
THE F	REPLY FILED <u>13 December 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS	S APPLICATION IN CONDITION	FOR ALLOWANCE.	
	The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notal Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	wing replies: (1) an amendment, a tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in the with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply	affidavit, or other evide n compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
	The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	-		
b) [no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire !	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mai	ling date of the final reject	ion.
	Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	06.07(f).		
have b under : set fort may re	tions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date een filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the sthin (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later duce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) CE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amou shortened statutory period for reply o r than three months after the mailing	nt of the fee. The appropriginally set in the final Off	riate extension fee fice action; or (2) as
	The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp	pliance with 37 CFR 41 37 must h	e filed within two mont	hs of the date of
	filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed IDMENTS	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)),	to avoid dismissal of the	
	The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a bri	ef, will not be entered b	ecause
	(a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo	nsideration and/or search (see N		
	(c) They are not deemed to place the application in begappeal; and/or	• •	reducing or simplifying	the issues for
,	(d) They present additional claims without canceling a		ejected claims.	
	NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).			
4. ∐ 5. ∏	The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		Compliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
6. 🗍	Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).		e, timely filed amendme	ent canceling the
	For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		will be entered and an	explanation of
	Claim(s) allowed:	•		
	Claim(s) objected to:			
	Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
	DAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	•		
8. 🔲 °	The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bubecause applicant failed to provide a showing of good anwas not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	at before or on the date of filing a d sufficient reasons why the affid	Notice of Appeal will <u>n</u> eavit or other evidence i	ot be entered s necessary and
9. 🔲	The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to on the showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessare.	overcome all rejections under app	eal and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a
	The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation IEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after	·	
	The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See continuation sheet.	t does NOT place the application	in condition for allowa	nce because:
	Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). Other:	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)	all.	nce because:
			ALFORD KIN PRIMARY EXA	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06) The request for consideration does not place the application in condition for allowance because of the reasons in the previous Action and further because:

The Applicant argues on page 6 of response that there is no disclosure of a template data store. The Examiner respectfully disagrees because Judd explicitly teaches a template database in column 6, lines 12-35 and furthermore in figure 3, reference number 340. The best fit template is chosen based on the best fit analysis and the transformed content based on the best fit template is sent for delivery to the client device. (col. 11, lines 25-44)

The Applicant argues on page 6 that there is no disclosure of anything that can be equated to a site structure database as recited. The Examiner disagrees because as recited in claim 1, it reads in part, " a site structure database containing data indicating how accessible content is organized..." Clearly, the noted templates from templates database indicate how content is structured (at least in column 6 lines 12-30). Judd is even concerned about the structuring and format of content for different devices (col. 5 lines 18-37).

The Applicant argues on page 7 of response that there is no teaching of how to determine the best fit method or profile. The Examiner submits that a best fit template is chosen based on at least in part, on a the client device characteristic (col. 6, lines 12-31). The choosing of a best fit template qualifies as determining the best fit method. Furthermore, the client device characteristics indicate a terminal type (i.e. PC, Server, PDA etc...) which is found in 305 of figure 3.

The Applicant argues on page 8 that Judd nowhere discloses a database holding information indicating relationships between pages. As noted before, the Examiner refers to figure 3 where in part content 120 is stored in an interim format for future use. Storage 120 teaches this because the interim format in essence shows a relationship between inbound content and outbound content and further an aspect of content adaption (i.e. a destination format according to a device).